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Executive Summary

E1. Introduction to the Training Needs Assessment (TNA)
As a part of developing the Tamil Nadu Urban Sanitation Support Programme's (TNUSSP's) capacity building strategy and action plan, a TNA was carried out from February 2016 to May 2016.

The objectives of this assessment were:

- To study the existing organisational structure and staffing in the State’s urban institutions, Municipal Administration and Water Supply (MAWS), Directorate of Town Panchayat (DTP), Commissionerate of Municipal Administration (CMA) and their categories of urban local bodies like corporations, municipalities and Town Panchayats (Tps).

- To assess the organisational functions, human resource competencies and training needs with respect to sanitation; especially human excreta management (conventional Underground Drainage (UGD) or Sewerage and Sewage Treatment, as well as Fecal Sludge and Septage Management (FSSM) and Sewerage Management).

- To assess the training needs of government officers at different levels including top, middle and frontline staff of urban local bodies at the state and the city level, in respect of sanitation.

- To formulate and recommend capacity building and training programmes.

- To suggest a strategy for overall improvement of the full cycle of sanitation in the state including institutional capacity and human capabilities, especially in Fecal Sludge Management (FSM).

E2. Approach and Method
The key target groups of this study were the administrators, engineers, and other implementing personnel concerned with public health in Urban Local Bodies (ULBs), and at the State level.

The study, conducted from April 2016 to June 2016, covered 12 ULBs in Tamil Nadu, including two corporations, three municipalities, six town panchayats, and one census town.

The study examined the organisation structure of the relevant ULB/Agency and in that context, sought to understand the capacity gap in relation to the roles and responsibilities of different groups of personnel.

E3. Key Findings
i. The study revealed that there is a limited awareness on fecal sludge treatment and reuse at different levels within the ULBs. This is explained partly by the primacy accorded to solid waste management historically, and mainly due to neglect of the importance of human excreta management, although both form a part of sanitation related responsibilities of the ULBs. The realm of formal management of fecal sludge may not have enjoyed ULBs' priorities because these were traditionally seen as household matters. Operative guidelines for septage management were also recently laid (2014). This seems somewhat surprising though since control of nuisance is a conventional role of ULBs.

ii. While sanitation roles and responsibilities form the core of municipal/ULB functions, there is a lack of sufficient competent personnel to carry out the tasks required for proper planning, implementation, and maintenance management of sanitation facilities, especially in human excreta management.
Capacities need to be built anew to address the emerging challenges and opportunities in the area of FSSM.

iii. The exercise showed that the roles and responsibilities of the staff, especially that of sanitary workers and inspectors, were not clear, and there is a lack of detailed job descriptions. As a result, the roles may overlap and there may be a lack of clarity about responsibilities. Due to lack of technical, institutional and financial capacities, the agencies are unable to fulfill their mandates in respect of sanitation and public health.

iv. Limited capacities and resources in the ULBs have resulted in poor regulation over the regular emptying and cleaning of septic tanks and pits. The organisation and supply of de-sludging services in many places is far from adequate.

v. Local implementers and services providers also expressed the need for their suggestions and feedback to be taken into account, while revising the operative guidelines.


The strategy shall comprise the following elements:

1. Identifying and dedicating positions within the State level institutions and ULBs (municipal corporations, municipalities, and town panchayats) to discharge roles and responsibilities;

2. Strengthening the systems and procedures for attending to the specific needs of each part of the sanitation chain viz. safe containment, safe and timely emptying, treatment and re-use;

3. Improving the knowledge-base and skill-levels of the Government of Tamil Nadu's (GoTN's) urban sector personnel, especially in ULBs apart from State level agencies;

4. Orienting key officers and stakeholders to prioritise sanitation by testing and scaling up innovations using FSSM as a supplement/stand-alone solution to achieve the goal of 100 per cent sanitation in TN’s urban areas;

5. Orientation-cum-training programmes that are woven back into standard workroutines of personnel at different levels is the first obvious step to develop realisation and ownership about the sanitation agenda. The second step will be deploying domestic and international exposure visits for officers and stakeholders, to cultivate belief in the credibility of innovations and solutions being attempted in other locations in India, and other developing countries. A short-term training plan has been presented in Chapter 8 that shall be revised and updated based on results of implementation of the strategy till December 2017.
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1. Background and Objectives

1.1 Background

The GoTN has been a pioneer in not only in attempting improved standards of public health by taking steps to stop open defecation, but has also prioritised the full sanitation chain, including the strengthening of septage management as an economical and sustainable complement to network-based systems. The Chief Minister of GoTN articulated the need to address sanitation, following this, the ‘Namma Toilet’ (‘Our Own Toilet’) or Public Toilets were rolled out in urban areas. The GoTN issued Septage Management Operative Guidelines in September 2014.

The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) is supporting the GoTN to achieve the sanitation mission of Tamil Nadu by helping set up a Technical Support Unit (TSU) within the MAWS. This unit supports state-wide improvements in urban sanitation, as well as aims to demonstrate innovations along the entire sanitation chain in two selected model urban locations of Trichy Municipal Corporation, and Periyanaicken-palayam and Narasimhanaicken-palayam (two town panchayats in Coimbatore District).

The TSU has specialists in the areas of planning, engineering, capacity building, knowledge management, behaviour change and communication, monitoring, learning and evaluation. These specialists support the MAWS, cities and towns to adopt sustainable sanitation innovations. The DTP is the co-ordinating agency for the Programme.

As part of the capacity building initiatives, a TNA of officers in the Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) was conducted between April and June 2016. The study was conducted at selected ULBs in the State, with an aim to identify the current capacity of the organisations to implement FSSM. The TNA focussed on the institutional, administrative, financial and human capacity of the ULBs and assessed the existing gaps in these capacities.

1.2 Need for the Study

Sanitation and safe disposal of human waste are critical to ensure good public health in populations around the world. Providing safe sanitation to citizens is one of the major functions of the ULBs. To implement safe sanitation practices at the city level, it is essential that ULBs have officers trained in sustainable FSSM practices. The study sought to identify the current capacity of the ULBs in FSSM management, and the gaps or constraints that can help in developing a strategy for improvements at different levels.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The Objectives of the Study were to:

i. Examine the existing organisational structure and staffing in the State’s urban institutions, especially the Municipal Administration and Water Supply (MAWS), DTP, CMA and the different categories of Urban Local Bodies like corporations, municipalities and Town Panchayats (Tps).

ii. Assess the organisational functions, human resource competencies and training needs with respect to sanitation; especially human excreta management.

iii. Assess the training needs of Government officers at different levels including top, middle and frontline staff of Urban Local Bodies at the state and city level.
iv. Formulate and recommend capacity building and training programmes for various stakeholders including Government officers, private and informal sector agents like masons, builders, contractors and de-sludging operators.

v. Develop a strategy for overall improvement of the full cycle of sanitation in the State including institutional capacity and human capabilities, especially in FSSM.
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2. Approach and Methodology

2.1 Scope of the Study
The study sought to cover different categories of ULBs in Tamil Nadu. In addition, the ULBs were selected broadly to also cover different geographical areas of the State. Amongst the different personnel cadres/groups, the study targeted those that were primarily concerned with public health and public health engineering in these ULBs (or relevant State level agencies). The Study focussed on assessing the readiness of the ULBs in FSSM implementation at the city level.

2.2 Approach and Methodology
The following methodology was adopted for the study:

i. Understanding the institutional framework of ULB administration at the State and the ULB levels
ii. Identifying ULBs to undertake a study on the current urban sanitation systems and practices
iii. Developing questionnaires for survey to be conducted for different cadres
iv. Conducting field visits and TNA survey among the technical staff
v. Consulting administrative and technical heads to assess FSSM readiness
vi. Identifying target-group-specific training needs

2.3 Review of the Institutional Framework of ULB Administration at the State and ULB Levels
A secondary review was conducted to assess the existing system of governance including roles and responsibilities of various institutions within the ULBs with regard to urban sanitation. The aim of this exercise was to understand the existing administrative structure at the city level, the decision-making process, the flow of funds and information and the key links between various departments.

2.4 Selecting Sample Urban Local Bodies for Study
The study was conducted in 12 ULBs across the State. These were drawn to represent different class of ULBs, viz. municipal corporations, municipalities, TPs, and census towns. The ULBs were selected in such a way that they would cover a broader region of the state. The ULBs covered under the study are presented in Table 2.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corporations</th>
<th>Municipalities</th>
<th>Town Panchayats</th>
<th>Census Town</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tiruchirappalli</td>
<td>Kanchipuram</td>
<td>Narasimhianaicken-palayam</td>
<td>Aruvankadu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madurai</td>
<td>Bhavani</td>
<td>Periyaicken-palayam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conoor</td>
<td>Sankagiri</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Manamadurai</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kotagiri</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sripurumbadur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: MAWS and Census 2011
2.5 Field Visits and Interviews of Staff in the ULBs
Field visits to the ULBs identified for the survey were conducted to understand the current sanitation practices as well as the FSSM processes. The study was conducted through interviews with key stakeholders and decision makers including city commissioners, assistant commissioners, chief engineers, city health officer and chief town planning officer to understand the key barriers to institutional capacity building and, thereby, to the successful implementation of sustainable FSSM practices in the respective cities.

2.6 Questionnaire for the Survey
A semi-structured questionnaire was used for data collection. Two sets of questionnaires were used for assessment—one for the key stakeholders of the ULBs like heads of the ULBs, technical heads and senior officers and another set of questionnaire was used for middle and junior cadre of the ULBs.

The interactions with key stakeholders focussed on discussing and assessing three major capacities:

i. Institutional capacity: Current policy and administrative framework of ULBs, public health, town planning and engineering services.

ii. Financial capacity: The financial capacity of the organisations, particularly the operational and capital funding sources to initiate FSSM in the respective ULBs.

iii. Human capacity: The current human resource capacity of the ULBs and the future requirement to implement FSSM at the state level.

The questionnaire for middle and junior cadres focussed on educational background and technical qualifications, and an assessment of current situation and training needs as reported by them. The questions were based on:

i. Designation and job profile

ii. Awareness about urban sanitation

iii. Regular tasks in urban sanitation

iv. Time spent on urban sanitation

v. Key constraints in performing given tasks

vi. Preferred training areas

vii. Modes of training

2.7 Identifying Target Group-Specific Training Needs
Key training areas were identified based on the field visits, interviews, and interactions with the administrative and senior staff in ULBs. Category-wise training areas and mode of delivery were also identified.

2.8 Limitations
Since FSSM is a new area, the respondents were not able to clearly articulate their competency gaps. Hence the inferences on training requirements have also been drawn from multiple studies and reports, apart from the responses received.
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3. Institutional Framework for Urban Tamil Nadu

3.1 Municipal Administration and Water Supply Department
The Department of MAWS is responsible for urban administration in the State. The department was formed in 1984, after bifurcation from the Rural Development and Local Administration Department. The department is headed by a principal secretary, a senior officer of the Indian Administrative Services (IAS). The Department of Municipal Administration and Water Supply is responsible for the following activities:

i. Coordinating the activities of various organisations and agencies involved in urban planning.

ii. Execution and maintenance of measures to provide improved infrastructure and services in the urban areas of the State.

iii. Making provisions for drinking water supply in rural and urban areas of the State. The Commissionerate of Municipal Administration and the Directorate of TPs are subdepartments of MAWS. ULBs in the State are organised as 12 municipal corporations (including Corporation of Chennai), 125 municipalities, and 528 town panchayats.

MAWS also has administrative control of undertakings/bodies such as the Corporation of Chennai (CoC), the Chennai Metropolitan Water Supply and Sewerage Board (CMWSSB), the Tamil Nadu Water Supply and Drainage Board (TWAD Board), Tamil Nadu Urban Finance and Industrial Development Corporation (TUFIDCO), Tamil Nadu Institute of Urban Studies (TNIUS), etc.

3.2 Commissionerate of Municipal Administration
The CMA is the nodal department responsible for coordinating and supervising the functions of all municipalities and 11 municipal corporations in the State (except CoC). The corporations are governed by their own Acts, while the municipalities are governed by a State Municipality Act.

The CMA is headed by the commissioner of municipal administration and assisted by joint commissioner of municipal administration, joint commissioner (Administration), two additional directors and two joint directors. The municipalities are divided into seven regions headquartered at Chengalpattu, Vellore, Salem, Tiruppur, Thanjavur, Madurai and Tirunelveli. These regions are headed by Regional Directors of Municipal Administration (RDMAs).

The engineering wing of the CMA, the office of the RDMA and the corporations are responsible for implementation of various schemes concerning water supply, laying of roads, underground sewerage, solid waste disposal, etc.

3.3 Directorate of Town Panchayat
There are 528 TPs in the State, and they are governed by the Tamil Nadu District Municipalities Act, 1920. The Director of town panchayats is the head of the department. He is also designated as the Inspector of town panchayats to review and monitor the activities relating to development programmes implemented through TPs. There are 17 Assistant Directors of Town Panchayats (ADTPs) who take care of the administration at the zonal levels.

At the district level, the collectors are the administrative heads of town panchayats. The Collector is assisted by the relevant zonal assistant director of town panchayats.
It may be noted that the elected councils in each category of ULB, provides the political leadership, while the Commissionerate and Directorates provide the necessary administrative support. The interface of administrative support to ULBs, is illustrated in (Figure. 3.1).

**Figure 3.1: Organisation Structure of MAWS**

Source: Corporation of Madurai website accessed on June 8, 2016

### 3.4 Local Administration at the ULB Level

For administrative purpose, the ULBs are classified based on population, revenue and geographical area. The indicative administrative arrangements within the corporations, municipalities and TPs, are outlined in the following sections.

### 3.5 Organisation Structure of Corporations

In larger municipal corporations, the administrative head is the Commissioner, usually a middle to senior administrator. She is assisted by assistant commissioners of different functions and territorial divisions,
the City Engineer’s office, and the City Health Officer’s office. As an example, the detailed structure of Trichy Corporation is presented in Figure 3.2.

---

**Figure 3.2: Indicative Organisational Structure of a Municipal Corporation**

---

**Corporation Commissioner**

- **City Engineer**
  - Executive Engineer West
    - Assistant Executive Engineer Electrical
      - Junior Engineer Main Office
    - Assistant Executive Engineer Mechanical
  - Executive Engineer East
    - Assistant Executive Engineer Planning
    - Junior Engineer Planning

- **City Health Officer**
  - Assistant Commissioner Personnel
  - Assistant Commissioner Accounts
  - Assistant Commissioner Revenue
  - Public Relations Officer (PRO)

---

**Assistant Commissioner Srirangam Zone**

- Assistant Executive Engineer
- Junior Engineer
- Assistant Revenue Officer
- Superintendent
- Sanitary Inspector

**Assistant Commissioner Arlyamangalam Zone**

- Assistant Executive Engineer
- Junior Engineer
- Assistant Revenue Officer
- Superintendent
- Sanitary Inspector

**Assistant Commissioner Golden Rock Zone**

- Assistant Executive Engineer
- Junior Engineer
- Assistant Revenue Officer
- Superintendent
- Sanitary Inspector

**Assistant Commissioner K.Abishekapuram Zone**

- Assistant Executive Engineer
- Junior Engineer
- Assistant Revenue Officer
- Superintendent
- Sanitary Inspector

---

*Source: [http://www.trichycorporation.gov.in/accessed on April 2016](http://www.trichycorporation.gov.in)*
### 3.6 Organisation Structure of Municipalities

In municipalities similarly, the administrative head is the Municipal Commissioner. She is assisted by an assistant commissioner, municipal engineer, revenue officer, accounts manager and health officer. An indicative organisation structure of a Municipality is presented in Figure 3.3. The figure below does not show the detailed staffing because, the structure and staffing pattern is likely to vary for each of the offices depending on size of the municipality.

![Organisation Structure of Municipalities](source: TNA, May 2016)

### 3.7 Organisation Structure of Town Panchayats

At the TP level, the Executive Officer (EO) is the administrative head, who is authorised to sign all cheques for payment from the panchayat fund. He is assisted by the Head clerk, junior assistant, bill collector, and typist for maintenance of records, collection of taxes, maintenance of assets and implementation of government programmes (DTP, 2012). A schematic structure of a TP is presented in Figure 3.4.

The fore-going description suggests that while corporations have comparatively better human and financial resources, smaller ULBs have little capacities in general, and hence, even poorer capacities in sanitation. Municipalities will perhaps have variable capacities depending on their size, and the situation in TPs is marked by very rudimentary staffing and capacities.

![Organisation Structure of Town Panchayats](source: TNA, May 2016)
3.8 Other Urban Development Institutions, Tamil Nadu
Apart from the different categories of ULBs, administered by the CMA and DTP, the other institutions responsible for urban water and sanitation services in the state include:

- Chennai Metropolitan Water Supply and Sewerage Board (CMWSSB)
- Tamil Nadu Water Supply and Drainage Board (TWAD)
- Specialised institutions like the Tamil Nadu Urban Infrastructure and Financial Services Limited (TNUIFSL) and the Tamil Nadu Urban Finance and Industrial Development Corporation (TUFIDCO)
- Tamil Nadu Institute of Urban Studies (TNIUS)

These institutions also form a part of the MAWS Department. The CMWSSB provides water supply and sewerage (UGSS) services to Chennai. The Tamil Nadu Water Supply and Drainage Board (TWAD) is responsible for the provision of water supply and underground sewerage facilities in the State (outside the jurisdiction of the CMWSSB). The Tamil Nadu Urban Infrastructure and Financial Services Limited (TNUIFSL), and the Tamil Nadu Urban Finance and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (TUFIDCO) are the two urban financial intermediaries. The Tamil Nadu Institute of Urban Studies (TNIUS), at Coimbatore, has been set up by the State to undertake capacity building in the urban sector.

Apart from MAWS, the State has other departments responsible for different aspects of urban development, notably public works, housing and urban development, water resources, slum clearance board, and so. Figure 3.5 presents a broad division of roles in providing urban infrastructure and services, across the relevant departments and agencies in the State.

---

**Figure 3.5: Roles and Responsibilities of Urban Development Institutions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institutions</th>
<th>Development Planning</th>
<th>Water Supply</th>
<th>Sewerage</th>
<th>SWM</th>
<th>Roads</th>
<th>Drains</th>
<th>Sanitation</th>
<th>Housing</th>
<th>Slum Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Directorate of Town and Country Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Housing and Urban Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Works Department</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Resources Department</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Corporations, Councils &amp; Town Panchayats</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamil Nadu Water Supply and Drainage Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamil Nadu Slum Clearance Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamil Nadu Infrastructure Development Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [http://www.naduraicorporation.co.in/accessed on May 2016](http://www.naduraicorporation.co.in/accessed on May 2016)
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4. Urban Sanitation in Cities: Role of ULBs

According to the 74th Constitutional Amendment Act 1994, ULBs are responsible for safe sanitation in the State. While the administrative head of the ULBs focuses on the managerial policies and administration of sanitation programmes in the cities, the Public Health Department, municipal cadres and the Engineering Department have major roles in executing the mandate of sanitation.

4.1 Role of Public Health Department in Urban Sanitation

The Public Health Department is responsible for sanitation at the ULBs and is entrusted with the following tasks.

i. Monitoring authority for sanitation

ii. Reporting to higher authorities on the existing sanitation status

iii. Solid waste management

iv. Cleaning of streets

v. Cleaning of community and public toilets

vi. Controlling open defecation and maintenance of toilets

vii. Assuring that excreta is not disposed in open drains and

viii. Creating awareness among the public about better sanitation.

The Public Health Department consists of sanitary officers, sanitary inspectors, sanitary supervisors and sanitary workers. In major corporations, the City Health Officer is in charge of the Public Health Department, whereas in municipalities, the Municipal Sanitary Officer is in charge of the department. In town panchayats, sanitary inspectors discharge the same responsibility.

The following categories of staff are drawn from the Public Health cadres/department:

i. City Health Officer: The City Health Officer heads the Public Health Department at the corporation level.

ii. Sanitary Officers: The sanitary officers head the department at the municipal level and zonal level. It is the duty of the sanitary officer to ensure compliance to standards of sanitation in cities.

iii. Sanitary Inspectors: The sanitary inspectors have a supervisory function on public health in the TPs. In the case of municipalities and corporations, they work under the health officer and sanitary officer.

---

Figure 4.1: Public Health Department

[Diagram showing the hierarchy of the Public Health Department]

Source: TNA, April 2016
iv. Conservancy Inspectors: This position is understood to exist only in old corporations and municipalities. In the current survey, only Madurai has this position as part of their corporation structure.

v. Sanitary Supervisors: Their role is to supervise the activities of sanitary workers.

vi. Sanitary Workers: Handle the daily sanitary work activities at the cities, consisting of sweeping, collection of garbage, clearing of open drains.

### 4.2 Role of Municipal Cadres in Urban Sanitation

The Urban Local Bodies in Tamil Nadu are staffed by designated cadres through various government regulations, rules and orders.

### 4.3 Public Health Cadre

The public health cadres are regulated through the Tamil Nadu Municipal Public Health Service Regulations, 1970 which puts forth a list of Class I, II, III, IV and V officers. The Class I comprise directly recruited officers that include the women medical officers, lady superintendent, medical officer (Public Health Laboratory) and medical officer (I.D. Hospital). The Class II officers constitute the sanitary officers and selection grade sanitary inspector, wherein a health officer through direct recruitment forms the Class III of the cadre list. Under the Class IV cadre there is a sanitary inspector and foreman. Class V consists of the other personal like matrons, cinema operators, etc.

In addition to the 1970 Health Regulations, The Tamil Nadu Municipal (non-centralised regular) Public Health Establishment Regulations were enacted in 1976. Under these regulations the Sanitary workers like sweepers, scavengers, thotties, Cart driver, Drain cleaners, Tank and burial and burning ground watchman, and all other sanitary workers including those employed for Anti-Malaria, Anti-Filaria, guineaworm and compost schemes are employed through direct recruitment and later were eligible to be promoted to Sanitary Supervisors.

### 4.4 Municipal Engineering Cadre

The Municipal Engineering Service Rules 1970 appointed and delegated several grades of officers for various engineering works. The Class I officers had drainage, water works and sewage farms superintendent in addition to other engineers. Similarly, there are nine other classes consisting of electrical superintendent, mechanical superintendent, public works overseers, works inspectors, head workman, drivers, etc.

According to Section 303 read with Section 77-A of the Tamil Nadu District Municipalities Act 1920, the Tamil Nadu Municipal Engineering Service Rules came into force with effect from 24 December 1997. The service will consist of the following categories and cadres given below:

i. Category I: Chief engineer (Municipal Corporation)

ii. Category I-A: Superintending Engineer

iii. Category II: Executive engineer/Regional executive engineer

iv. Category III: Asst. Executive Engineer

v. Category IV: Asst. Engineer

### 4.5 Municipal Town Planning Cadres

The municipal town planning cadres consists of a senior town planning officer, town planning officer, Grade-I,
town planning officer, Grade-II, town planning inspector and town planning assistant draughtsman.

In addition to the above, the Tamil Nadu Municipal General Service Rules 1970, TN medical Service Rules 1970 and TN Municipal Commissioner Services also enforce appointment of cadres for various posts.

4.6 Role of Engineering Department in Urban Sanitation

The Engineering Wing of the ULBs takes charge of the construction and maintenance of the toilets, drains, sewers, and treatment plants. The Engineering Wing (Public Works) is in charge of purchasing equipment, maintenance of machinery and vehicles, and providing equipment for sanitary workers. Their roles include:

i. Construction of public, community and government school toilets

ii. Construction and maintenance of sewers including sanitary sewers, storm water drains and combined sewers

iii. Construction and maintenance of open drainage systems

iv. Construction, operation and maintenance of pumping stations

v. Construction and maintenance of sewerage treatment plants

vi. Treatment and re-use of waste water

vii. Purchase and maintenance of equipment and vehicles for sanitation

viii. Maintaining records of de-sludging vehicles owned by ULB

ix. Regulating and maintaining records of de-sludging vehicles owned by private vendors

x. Preparing tenders for equipment and vehicle purchase for urban sanitation

xi. Approval of tenders for equipment and vehicle purchase for urban sanitation

4.7 Engineering Department in Town Panchayat

There are no specific posts for the engineering staff in the TPs. The engineers are deployed at the zonal levels. There are 15 zonal TPs in Tamil Nadu, in which the engineering department is structured in the following manner.

In the Engineering department, the following categories of staff work at the city level.

i. The City Engineer is the Head of the Engineering Department at the corporation level.

ii. The Executive Engineers are responsible for zonal level administration at the corporations, municipalities and town panchayats.
iii. The Assistant Executive Engineer (AEE) assists the executive engineer in zonal administration.

iv. The Assistant Engineer is posted in the Division, and designated to assist higher officers. His duties include planning, designing, and overseeing construction and maintenance of building structures including sewers, septage treatment plants and open drainages in the city.

v. The Junior Engineers assist the assistant engineers in executing activities at the city level. They are the supervising authority in implementing engineering activities.

vi. The Overseers carry out instructions received from higher offices from time to time, with regards to proper execution of a work in their department. They conduct inspection at the public undertakings at regular intervals and report to junior engineers about tools & plant required for a work to be completed in time and keep a watch over the proper use of tools and plant, if any, issued to contractors.

4.8 The Role of Town Planning Department in Sanitation

The Town Planning Department is supposed to ensure that the public, private and industrial institutions are constructed according to the Building Rules, and the wastewater and human excreta is not disposed of in open spaces or into water bodies.
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5. Key Findings: Senior Engineering Staff and Executive Leadership of ULBs

The survey covered heads of ULBs, technical heads and senior officers. The following officers participated in the survey as part of the TNA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Number of people interviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Commissioner</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Engineer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Health Officer</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Engineer</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Engineer</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Executive Engineer</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Planning Engineer</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head Clerk</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of officers who participated in the survey</strong></td>
<td><strong>40</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: TNA, 2016*

A detailed city-wise classification of the officers is available in Annexure 1

5.1 Awareness of Key Officers on FSSM and their Current Practice

The study revealed that majority of the respondent officers had limited knowledge on fecal sludge treatment.

In town panchayats and municipalities, where Sewage Treatment Plants (STPs) are not available, the officers were either unaware or had limited formal knowledge of FSSM. The officers also mentioned that many of the frontline and junior cadre are not adequately aware of the rules and regulations governing building of septic tanks and its linkages with public health.

The ULBs have limited facility to desludge the septic tanks. Due to unavailability of sufficient vehicles, the general public relies more on private de-sludging contractors. In the larger corporations and special grade municipalities, where STPs are available, the ULBs have initiated practices to regulate the activities of the de-sludging contractors. This includes imposing fines on those disposing untreated sludge and providing strict guidelines on disposing sludge at the selected pumping stations or STPs. However, where there is no UGD
(underground drainage), particularly in the ULBs without STPs, on-site systems will need regular de-sludging and safe disposal of fecal sludge. Currently, this is not strictly followed in most cases, as presented in Table 5.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Awareness</th>
<th>Fully Aware</th>
<th>Somewhat Aware</th>
<th>Limited Knowledge</th>
<th>No Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of GoTN Septage Management Policy 2014</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness on Types of Toilets (Dry/Wet)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness on Containment Systems</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness on Transportation</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness on Disposal</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness on Treatment</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness on Reuse</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: TNA, 2016*

### 5.2 Current Policies: Status and Issues

#### 5.2.1 Institutional Capacity
At the institutional level, the Tamil Nadu Municipal Building Rules 1972 and Public Health Act 1939, read with Operative Septage Management Guidelines, provide the framework for urban sanitation. However, the authorities face challenges in places where land is not available and where there is a lack of financial resources. Respondents also mentioned that though building rules prevent the disposal of septage in open areas, drains and water bodies, alternative safe disposal options are not provided.

The officers suggested revisions and upgrading of the policies, acts/rules to implement FSSM at the state level, keeping in mind the social stigma as well as the cultural practices prevalent in society. Table 5.3 presents feedback of respondents across the different elements of the full-cycle sanitation chain.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Rules</th>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Solutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Containment</td>
<td>Building Rules are specified.</td>
<td>Though the rules are in place, they are not clear in terms of types of containment systems and restrictions on certain types of containments based on groundwater level and environmental issues.</td>
<td>The building rules may be modified according to the environmental challenges, which may reduce the unhealthy practices in containment systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyance</td>
<td>Places where the UGD is available, the Municipal rules are followed. In the case of on-site sanitation, the Public Health Act is generally followed.</td>
<td>Though there are strict guidelines preventing disposing of human excreta in public places, many private vendors dispose of the fecal sludge at open places, river-side and farmlands.</td>
<td>Regulation of private de-sludging operators, awareness of potential health hazards, guidelines for safe disposal, and providing locations to dispose the fecal sludge are needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Not aware of rules for safe treatment and reuse of fecal sludge and treated wastewater.</td>
<td>Treatment of septage is an issue in places where UGD is not available. Lack of treatment plants result in disposal of untreated septage by the public.</td>
<td>In ULBs where UGD is not available, designated FSSM treatment plants should be set up. A regulation to monitor regular de-sludging is also suggested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposal/Reuse</td>
<td>- Same as above -</td>
<td>Disposal/Usage of treated septage and waste-water has to be addressed. The cultural and social stigma attached to septage is one important aspect to be addressed.</td>
<td>Awareness creation among the public about septage treatment and reuse is suggested.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: TNA, 2016
5.2.2 Human Resources Capacity

i. Almost all ULBs reported shortage of staff, especially frontline staff in the Health Department. In some ULBs, the shortage is as high as 50 per cent. Therefore, the existing staff have an increased workload, which hinders their day-to-day work. For this reason, many ULBs have started outsourcing the sanitation work.

ii. Some officers pointed out that they are unable to regulate the activities of the outsourced staff.

iii. Officers recommended filling up of vacant positions to reduce the burden on the existing staff.

iv. Respondents also pointed out the need to have an integrated management system, to enable monitoring and tracking in FSSM.

A detailed city-wise analysis is presented in Annexure 2.

5.2.3 Financial Capacity

i. The ULBs are classified according to population and revenue, with revenue being the key factor in implementing policies at the ULB level. Officers mention that taxes are often the only source of funds that prove to be inadequate, thereby limiting the ability to acquire and operate vehicles, equipment, manpower and infrastructure for implementation of FSSM in ULBs.

ii. Due to shortage of funds, majority of the ULBs encourage on-site sanitation systems. The de-sludging expenses are met by the general public. This leads to unhealthy practices like constructing deep underground pits by households who do not wish to get their containment structures regularly cleaned.

iii. Currently, most of the ULBs are included in Swachh Bharat Mission (SBM). Some of the cities are selected under the Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT), Smart Cities and Heritage City Development and Augmentation Yojana (HRIDAY) Missions. These programmes do have explicit components for investments in FSSM. Where ULBs are part of Integrated Urban Development Mission (IUDM), they have the support of the GoTN in meeting the operational expenses and capital expenses of constructing UGDs.

iv. Officers also suggested implementing full-scale e-Governance to reduce paperwork and ensure systematic recording. Generating a module on Septage Management as part of e-filing system was also recommended.

City-wise analysis is presented in Annexure 3.

5.3 Training Needs of Key Stakeholders

While the primary survey did not cover the training record of officers, it was understood from GoTN documents that they have undergone training on policies and processes in urban sanitation and a few of them in septage management, as well.

Officers opined that their personnel would be willing to attend training programmes, and these can be expected to improve their knowledge, skills and behaviour. Creating awareness on safety and health standards, and building rules and regulations among the staff were recommended. Occupational safety guidelines for the frontline staff was also mentioned as a major requirement.
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6. Key Findings: Sanitary and Conservancy Inspectors, Supervisors and Workers (of Public Health Department)

The TNA covered both engineering and public health staff of the selected ULBs. About 252 employees participated in the survey. The survey included a proportional number of sample from each category against the original category-wise staff strength in the ULBs. Currently, nearly 75 per cent of the staff are frontline sanitary workers, about 20 per cent are sanitary supervisors and nearly five per cent are sanitary inspectors and officers.

The category-wise classification of the sanitary staff is presented in Table 6.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Officer</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Inspector</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservancy Inspector</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Supervisor</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Worker</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Number of Staff Interviewed</strong></td>
<td><strong>252</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: TNA, 2016*

A detailed city-wise breakup is presented in Annexure 4.

As detailed job profile/description of the staff was not available for reference and understanding. Therefore, the respondents were requested to describe their routine tasks under their current roles.

6.1 Age-wise Classification

Most of the respondents (59 per cent) were in the 40–50-year age group. About 34 per cent of the staff are above 50 years of age. Two per cent of the staff are below the age of 30 and another 5 per cent fall in the 30–40 years category.

Those in the higher age bracket showed little interest in getting trained in new areas of sanitation as many of them are due for retirement. Since majority of those who were part of the survey fell under this bracket, it is essential to take this into account while designing training programmes from them.

*Source: TNA, April 2016*
6.2 Gender-wise Classification of the Respondents

The survey included both male and female employees. Out of the 252 participants, 79 per cent were male employees and 21 per cent female employees. The workforce in the Public Health Department is predominantly male. Women workers are mainly employed for the cleaning of streets and garbage collection.

6.3 Education

The educational background of the staff is relevant in developing the training curriculum, training methods and delivery. In the Public Health Department, about 75 per cent of the workforce consists of sanitary workers. The basic qualification required for the sanitary workers is middle school (8th Class Pass). This was reflected in the survey results. About 42 per cent of the staff are high school dropouts, and 33 per cent of them had middle school education.

Only the positions above sanitary inspector demand graduation as a qualification. Hence, the number of staff members with bachelor’s degree is very small. The category-wise educational classification is presented in Table 6.2.

Table 6.2: Educational Qualification of Respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bachelors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Officer</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Inspector</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Supervisor</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Workers</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservancy Inspector</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>13</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: TNA, 2016
6.4 Experience of Employees

Most of the employees have been serving in the department for 20 to 30 years. In the case of sanitary supervisors and sanitary workers, only some of the staff fall in the group of fewer than 5 years' experience. The long years of experience in current practices can also pose a potential hurdle in training personnel on new systems and practices.

Figure 6.4: Work Experience of the Staff

Source: TNA, May 2016
The survey tried to identify the current work-schedules of the public health staff in sanitation. Figure 6.5 provides an overview on the current tasks of the sanitary staff and the amount of time spent on these tasks. This data also gives an understanding of the major tasks carried out by the ULBs, as part of the urban sanitation work in the city. On a daily basis, the following tasks are reported by the public health staff:

i. Garbage collection, transport and disposal (Solid Waste Management)

ii. Street sweeping and other cleanliness activities

---

**Figure 6.5: Time utilised for sanitation on daily basis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Daily Tasks in Urban Sanitation</th>
<th>Time Utilised for Sanitation on Daily Basis (Response in %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Sweeping</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning of Drains</td>
<td>62.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Toilet</td>
<td>95.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checking Disposal of Toilet Waste in Drains</td>
<td>53.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management / Regulation of Community Toilet</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation of Public Toilet</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manhole Cleaning</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP Management</td>
<td>67.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning of Other Waste</td>
<td>79.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most time spent on daily tasks

*Source: TNA, May 2016*

iii. Cleaning/clearing of drains

iv. Management/regulation of toilets in households and other properties
v. Checking of disposal of toilet wastes into drains and open areas
vi. Management/regulation of community toilets
vii. Management/regulation of public toilets
viii. Cleaning and un-clogging of sewer manholes or other structures clogged with human excreta
ix. Management/regulation of de-sludging trucks and personnel associated
x. Management/regulation of human excreta wastes disposal or treatment sites
xi. Other human excreta management tasks

As Figure 13 shows, solid waste management and cleaning of streets are the major tasks carried out by the sanitary workers. On an average, 40 per cent of the time is spent on solid waste management and 30 per cent on street sweeping.

6.5 Awareness on Urban Sanitation
Most of the respondents thought that the tasks pertaining to 'urban sanitation' were the activities that they take up on a daily basis. Respondents identified the following as major tasks in urban sanitation:

i. Cleaning of streets

ii. Cleaning of public and community toilets

iii. Cleaning of open drains

iv. Removal of garbage

v. Prevention of epidemics

vi. Prevention of stray dog menace

vii. Efficient solid waste management

viii. Control of open defecation and urination

ix. Control of stray animals

6.6 Awareness on Septage Management
With respect to the full cycle of sanitation of human excreta management, respondents were not aware of safe disposal, treatment and reuse stages. They did not report formal knowledge on the concepts of septage management. On describing the treatment of fecal sludge, some reported recall of septic tanks, STP operation, and de-sludging by private operators.

With respect to septic tank design and construction, common answers were related to soak-pits and septic tanks, and problems associated with poor capacity of these structures.

6.7 Awareness of the GoTN Guidelines on Septage Management
The public health staff working in Corporations and Municipalities report having some recall and knowledge of the Septage Management Guidelines. Sanitary inspectors also seem to have some knowledge about the Guidelines, while the sanitary workers do not seem to be aware of this.
Table 6.3: Awareness on GoTN Septage Management Operative Guidelines 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Highly Aware</th>
<th>Somewhat Aware</th>
<th>Very Limited Knowledge</th>
<th>Not Aware</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Officer</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Inspector</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservancy Inspector</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Supervisor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Workers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: TNA, May 2016
6.8 Awareness on Types of Toilets

To understand the awareness and familiarity with different types of toilets, the respondents were shown pictures of different types of toilets. Most of the respondents were aware of the common types of toilets, including wet and dry toilets, pour flush and cistern flush toilet.

![Figure 6.7: Awareness on Types of Toilets](image)

Source: TNA, May 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Highly Aware</th>
<th>Somewhat Aware</th>
<th>Very Limited Knowledge</th>
<th>Not Aware</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Officer</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Inspector</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservancy Inspector</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Supervisor</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Workers</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: TNA, May 2016
6.9 Awareness on Containment Systems

The majority of respondents were also aware of the different types of containment systems including septic tanks, single pit and double pit toilets. To understand the level of their knowledge, a few questions were asked about details of design and functioning of the septic tanks, single and double pit toilets, and how de-sludging needed to be done in each type of toilet. Most of the respondents had a fairly good knowledge of these containment systems. Some were able to also point out that in many households, septic tanks are a mere concrete structures without chambers and since treatment was not carried out in these tanks, they required regular de-sludging.

Figure 6.8: Awareness on Types of Containment Systems

Source: TNA, May 2016

Table 6.5: Awareness on Types of Containment Systems: Category Wise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Awareness on Types of Containment Systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Highly Aware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Officer</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Inspector</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservancy Inspector</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Supervisor</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Workers</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: TNA, May 2016
6.10 Awareness about Disposal

Questions were asked to assess methods of septage disposal from the containment structures. The respondents in this regard mainly spoke about two methods that are currently used—sewers and disposal by vehicles. In places where underground sewerage system is not covered, the staff have limited knowledge on the frequency of disposal, places where it is disposed and the treatment, thereafter.

![Figure 6.9: Awareness on Types of Disposal](image)

Source: TNA, May 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Highly Aware</th>
<th>Somewhat Aware</th>
<th>Very Limited Knowledge</th>
<th>Not Aware</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Officer</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Inspector</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservancy Inspector</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Supervisor</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Workers</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: TNA, May 2016
6.11 Awareness on Treatment

The majority of the respondents had limited or no awareness of septage treatment systems. While they seem to be aware of STPs, treatment of fecal sludge and the need for treatment was not clear to them. The staff in the higher category—sanitary officers and sanitary inspectors—were somewhat aware of the process; but their technical knowledge was limited.

Figure 6.10: Awareness on Types of Treatment

Source: TNA, May 2016

Table 6.7: Awareness on Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Awareness on Types of Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Highly Aware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Officer</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Inspector</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservancy Inspector</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Supervisor</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Workers</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: TNA, May 2016
6.12 Awareness about Re-use

Awareness on re-use of septage is very limited and many of the respondents have not seen the treated septage and its reuse. They also pointed out that there is a cultural and social stigma attached to the reuse of treated human excreta.

In the case of sanitary workers, a few of them showed good awareness on treated excreta as some of them may have worked in or seen STP operations.

---

**Figure 6.11: Awareness on Types of Treatment**

---

**Source:** TNA, May 2016

---

**Table 6.7: Awareness on Treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Highly Aware</th>
<th>Somewhat Aware</th>
<th>Very Limited Knowledge</th>
<th>Not Aware</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Officer</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Inspector</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservancy Inspector</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Supervisor</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Workers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** TNA, May 2016
6.13 Other Observations
The number of sanitary inspectors and officers indicate an average of 40 per cent shortfall in staffing. The workload, therefore, falls on the existing staff and this hinders the timely completion of assigned work.

With respect to dependencies on other departments, many of the respondents claimed that their collaboration with other departments is minimal, and that they do not find much difficulty in getting work completed with other departments.

Most of the staff claimed that their experience, familiarity with the locations and efficiency in their work, are the key strengths in performing their work. Some of the key constraints reported include staff shortage, lack of citizen cooperation and political interference. Caste-based bias was mentioned in some locations.

6.14 Training in Public Health Department
Due to unavailability of sufficient funds, the training programmes for the public health staff is offered only to the sanitary officer and sanitary inspectors. Sanitary supervisors and sanitary workers therefore, seem to have very little or no exposure to training in any process relating to sanitation.

Figure 6.12: Past Training in Sanitation

Source: TNA, May 2016

Most of the respondents said that they needed training and were willing to participate in the FSSM training. However, sanitary officers and inspectors who were nearing completion of their service preferred not to attend any training.
Table 6.9: **Past Training Record and Willingness to attend Training – Public Health**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Sanitary Officer</th>
<th>Sanitary Inspector</th>
<th>Sanitary Supervisor</th>
<th>Sanitary Workers</th>
<th>Conservancy Inspector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have you attended any training programme in sanitation?</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you willing to attend training programme?</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>16</strong></td>
<td><strong>41</strong></td>
<td><strong>67</strong></td>
<td><strong>125</strong></td>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: TNA, May 2016*

6.14.1 **Containment Major Training Areas Identified**

The following training topics were suggested by public health personnel:

1. Septage Management
2. Sewerage Management
3. Project Finance and Management
4. Citizen Awareness and Engagement
5. Safety Measures for Septage Management
6. Legal Aspects of Septage Management
### Table 6.10: Topics Identified by the Staff for Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Areas</th>
<th>Sanitary Officer</th>
<th>Sanitary Inspector</th>
<th>Sanitary Supervisor</th>
<th>Sanitary Workers</th>
<th>Conservancy Inspector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Septage Management</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizen Awareness</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Aspects of Septage Management</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Aspects in Septage Management</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: TNA, May 2016*

### Figure 6.14: Training for Public Health Staff

A detailed listing of training needs is presented in Annexure 5.

*Source: TNA, May 2016*
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7. Key Findings

About 23 engineering personnel were interviewed for the Study. The sample consisted of 13 Assistant Engineers, 7 Junior Engineers and 3 Overseers (Figure 7.1).

**Figure 7.1: Category-wise Classification of the Engineering Staff**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Engineers</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior Engineers</td>
<td>07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overseers</td>
<td>03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: TNA, May 2016*

**Table 7.1: Engineering Staff Interviewed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of staff interviewed</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of staff with Bachelor’s Degree in Engineering</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of staff with Diploma in Engineering</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Asst. Engineers who received training in urban sanitation</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of JE who received training in urban sanitation</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of overseers who received training in sanitation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: TNA, 2016*
7.1 Work Experience
Majority of the respondent engineering personnel have more than 10 years of work experience in their field. They are aware of the different stages of urban sanitation. They mostly deal with the final three stages in the sanitation chain—transport, treatment and re-use.

Figure 7.2: Work Experience of the Engineering Staff

Source: TNA, May 2016
7.2 Training in Engineering Department
Assistant engineers and junior engineers mentioned that they had received training in various technologies relating to the construction of different structures and in financial management, while a few others have also received training in water and sanitation systems. Very few overseers seem to have received any kind of training, at all.

---

**Figure 7.3: Past Training in Sanitation**

- Overseers: [Diagram showing distribution of training status]
- Junior Engineers: [Diagram showing distribution of training status]
- Assistant Engineers: [Diagram showing distribution of training status]

*Source: TNA, May 2016*

---

Most of the respondents expressed their willingness to participate in training programmes to improve their knowledge levels.

---

**Figure 7.4: Willingness to Attend Training**

- Overseers: [Diagram showing distribution of willingness to attend]
- Junior Engineers: [Diagram showing distribution of willingness to attend]
- Assistant Engineers: [Diagram showing distribution of willingness to attend]

*Source: TNA, May 2016*

---

Based on the survey, the following areas of training were identified for engineering staff:

i. Legal procedures in septage management, STP and re-use of solids

ii. Safety measures in septage and sewerage management

iii. Decentralised technologies for treatment and management
Figure 7.5 presents the detailed listing of training areas, enlisted by respondents under this study.

**Figure 7.5: Training Areas for Engineering Staff**

- Legal procedures in reuse of water and solids
- Legal procedures in STP
- Legal procedures in UGD maintenance
- Safety measures training for field workers in UGD
- Public toilets and management
- Community toilets and their management
- Sanitation services to slum areas
- Customer redressal and service delivery
- Financial management and tariff
- Project management
- Tendering and contracting
- PPP in water and sanitation
- STP Operations
- Resource recovery and reuse from STP FSTP
- Preparation of DPR for Fecal Sledge treatment
- Preparation of city sanitation plan
- Decentralised technologies
- Overview of septage management

*Source: TNA, May 2016*
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8. Capacity Building and Training Strategy Plan

The fore-going analyses provide the following key cluster of findings:

i. There is limited awareness on faecal sludge treatment and re-use at different levels within the ULBs. This is explained partly by the primacy accorded to solid waste management historically, and mainly due to neglect of the importance of human excreta management although both form a part of sanitation related responsibilities of the ULBs. The operative guidelines for septage management which were recent laid in 2014, enjoy a good recall amongst the more senior officers and especially in bigger ULBs.

ii. While sanitation roles and responsibilities form the core of municipal/ULB functions, there appears to be a lack of adequate number of personnel to carry out the tasks required for proper sanitation management in cities. Not only were the vacancy levels high in some specific locations, but also there were shortcomings in the quality of personnel deployed. Of course, capacities need to be built anew to address the emerging challenges and opportunities in the area of FSSM, which is a new realm albeit some of the officers and engineers might be familiar with UGD/sewerage systems and STPs.

iii. The exercise showed that the roles and responsibilities of the staff, especially that of sanitary workers and inspectors, were not clear and there is a lack of detailed job descriptions. As a result, the roles may overlap and there may be a lack of clarity about responsibilities. Limited capacities and resources in the ULBs have resulted in poor regulation over the regular emptying and cleaning of septic tanks and pits. The organisation and supply of de-sludging services in many places is far from adequate.

iv. Local implementers and services providers also expressed the need for their suggestions and feedback to be taken in revising the operative guidelines.

8.1 Strategy for Capacity Building and Training for Urban Sanitation and FSSM in TN

Based on the fore-going analysis, it is clear that the strategy for improving institutional capacities in urban sanitation, especially FSSM, will involve the following elements:

a) Identifying and dedicating positions within the State level institutions and ULBs (MCs, municipalities, and town panchayats) to discharge roles and responsibilities;

b) Strengthening the systems and procedures for attending to the specific needs of each part of the sanitation chain viz. safe containment, safe and timely emptying, and treatment and re-use;

c) Improving the knowledge-base and skill-levels of the GoTN’s urban sector personnel, especially in ULBs apart from State level agencies;

d) Orienting key officers and stakeholders to prioritise sanitation by testing and scaling up innovations using FSSM as a supplement/stand-alone solution for achieving the goal of 100 per cent sanitation in TN's urban areas.

Orientation-cum-training programmes that are woven back into standard work-routines of personnel at different levels is the first obvious step to develop realisation and ownership about the sanitation agenda. The second will be deploying domestic and international exposure visits for officers and stakeholders, to cultivate
belief in the credibility of innovations and solutions being attempted in other locations in India, and other developing countries. These will need to be followed up by systemic changes in institutions and policies, and drawing upon state and private sector players to gradually strengthen the systems for planning, financing, implementation, Operations and Maintenance (O&M) management. Citizens’ awareness and participation as well as strengthened roles of private sector, will need to be implemented in-step with conventional approaches of training for the sector to develop systematically and deliver on the sanitation and public health agenda of the State.

Some of the key activities and tasks under the Strategy will include the following:

i) The lack of awareness about sanitation, especially FSSM, are consistently cited as the main cause of the poor state of services. Well-trained personnel, including engineers, overseers, sanitary inspectors and workers, are necessary for the proper management of fecal sludge in the urban areas of the State. An orientation-cum-training on FSSM for State and ULB personnel is therefore an important step. State level training workshops need to be followed up with regional events that involve detailed training on strengthening planning, implementation, and monitoring systems.

ii) Septage handling/emptying, and related management guidelines/regulations should be strengthened by skill building programmes for different target groups including government, private de-sludging operators and their personnel, masons, builders, contractors, etc.

iii) Since the implementation of septage management policies requires involvement at multiple government levels, a clear delineation of roles is required to enable the staff with coherent job responsibilities and for bridging the skill gaps.

iv) Empowering personnel with technical and managerial capacity for operating septage and sewage management is a critical necessity, especially due to the variable qualities of source of septage/sewage and the complexity of processes. Strengthening of the institutions with effective operating procedures is needed while the agencies can be empowered with more clearly-defined roles.

v) Creating internal practices of knowledge sharing systems and on-the-job training can also ensure in-house knowledge development and receptivity within the department.

vi) While the training materials and methods need to be tailored to meet the needs at different levels of the target audience, progress on training should be systematically tracked and monitored by creating a State and ULB level training database and tracking mechanism. Record-keeping and manifest forms should be an integral part of a comprehensive septage management programme.

vii) Training centres that cater to the capacity building function of the ULBs, need to incorporate septage management as part of their curricula, as well as deliver stand-alone modules for different stakeholder groups in the State.

8.2 Training Plan: Short Term
Based on the TNA, a short-term training plan has been developed to train various stakeholders in FSSM (Fig. 8.1).

Based on the results of the below training programmes, as well as in light of the outcomes of the other activities in capacity building and training, e.g., domestic and international exposure visits, orientation-cum-training programmes, etc. Medium-term plans will be prepared.
### Figure 8.1: Training Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Audience</th>
<th>Trainings Programs</th>
<th>Program days</th>
<th>No. of People Trained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Masons</td>
<td>Construction of Septic Tanks and Leach Pit (Basic)</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction of Septic Tanks and Leach Pits (Advanced)</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Builders &amp; Contractors</td>
<td>Full Cycle Sanitation Orientation</td>
<td>1/2 day</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desludging Operators</td>
<td>Full Cycle Sanitation Orientation</td>
<td>1/2 day</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occupational Health &amp; Safety</td>
<td>1/2 day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Sector (Treatment Facilities Construction, Operators and Consultants)</td>
<td>Treatment and Reuse</td>
<td>1/2 day</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Workers</td>
<td>Full Cycle Sanitation Orientation &amp; Municipality Building Rules</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Inspectors and Supervisors</td>
<td>Full Cycle Sanitation Orientation &amp; Municipality Building Rules</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asst. Engineers, Junior Engineers, Planners &amp; Overseers</td>
<td>Full Cycle Sanitation Orientation &amp; Treatment</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Engineers &amp; Executive Leadership of ULB</td>
<td>Full Cycle Sanitation Orientation, Treatment &amp; Regulations</td>
<td>1.5 days</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 + 8</td>
<td>Exposure Visit</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: TNA, May 2016*

### 8.3 Institutions which can undertake Training and Capacity Building

There are a number of training and capacity building institutions on urban management and development in India, and in Tamil Nadu. These offer courses on a range of engineering and managerial aspects of water and sanitation management. Since FSSM is emerging new body of knowledge and practice, ready-made courses and modules are being developed in various institutions.

The TNUSSP consortium comprises IIHS, a prospective university, that conducts a number of academic and executive training programmes at the Bangalore City Campus, apart from customised training delivered at client/Government's locations, e.g., State Capitals, etc. CDD Society, a consortium member of TNUSSP, organises training programmes on a range of engineering and related subjects pertaining to DEWATs, Fecal sludge
treatment, etc., based out of their Bangalore offices, as well in clients’ locations. The Devanahalli FSTP, constructed and operated by CDD Society, also serves as a demonstration-cum-familiarisation site for a range of officers, engineers and decision-makers from different states and cities.

While the TNUSSP Team will prepare and deliver masons’ training programmes in the two model cities, it is possible to respond for demand for training programmes elsewhere through the TNIUS, as well as base these out of ITIs, and engineering colleges as well. The TNUSSP provides the opportunity to put together the training modules, and conduct Training of Trainers (ToTs) who can in turn, roll out the training programmes as a part of their scheduled offerings.

The Tamil Nadu Institute for Urban Studies (TNIUS) has been set up to deliver programmes in urban management for GoTN officers, and elected representatives, etc. Apart from TNIUS, Tamil Nadu has network of capacity building, training and educational institutions, that could potentially provide the back-bone for a number of basic and specialised training programmes on urban sanitation as well as FSSM.
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## Annexure 1: Key Stakeholders Interviewed by Location and Designation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Corporation</th>
<th>Municipalities</th>
<th>Census Town</th>
<th>Town Panchayats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tiruchirappalli</td>
<td>Madurai</td>
<td>Kanchiparam</td>
<td>Bhavani</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Health Officer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Engineer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Executive Engineer</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Engineer</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Engineer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Planning Engineer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head Clerk</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asst. Commissioner</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

| 13 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 40 |

*Source: TNA, May 2016*
### Annexure 2: Current Status of Personnel by Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Human Resource (Current)</th>
<th>Suggestions Received During Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Tiruchirappalli** | - Shortage of staff majority in the Public Health sector.  
  **Sanitary Inspectors:** Only 1/10 of the approved capacity is available at present.  
  **Sanitary Supervisors:** There is 20–30 per cent shortage.  
  **Engineering Wing:** The STP operations are mainly done by outsourcing/contract labour. Shortage of middle cadre engineering is also a challenge. Nearly 20 per cent shortage in middle to top level engineering.  
  **Sanitary workers:** Acute shortage. Major share of the work is done through SHG.                                                                 | Filling of approved and vacant posts are more important than creating new.                           |
| **Madurai**    | - Shortage of staff mainly in the Public Health sector.  
  **Sanitary Inspectors:** Since the vacant posts are not filled there is 20–30 per cent shortage.  
  **Sanitary Supervisors:** There is 20–30 per cent shortage.  
  **Engineering Wing:** The STP operations are mainly done by outsourcing/contract labour. Shortage of middle cadre engineering is also a challenge. Nearly 20 per cent shortage in middle to top level engineering.  
  **Sanitary workers:** Acute shortage. Major share of the work is done through SHG.                                                                 | Filling of approved and vacant posts are more important than creating new.                           |
| **Kanchipuram** | - Shortage of staff mainly in the Public Health sector.  
  **Sanitary Inspectors:** Since the vacant posts are not filled there is 20–30 per cent shortage.  
  **Sanitary Supervisors:** There is 20–30 per cent shortage.  
  **Sanitary workers:** Acute shortage. Major share of the work is done through SHG.                                                                 | Filling of approved and vacant posts are more important than creating new.                           |
| **Bhavani**    | - Shortage of staff mainly in the Public Health sector.  
  **Sanitary Inspectors:** Since the vacant posts are not filled there is 10–20 per cent shortage  
  **Sanitary Supervisors:** There is 20–30 per cent shortage.  
  **Engineering Section:** The STP operations are mainly done by outsourcing/contract labour. Shortage of middle cadre engineering is also a challenge. Nearly 20 per cent shortage in middle to top level engineering.  
  **Sanitary workers:** Acute shortage. Major share of the work is done through SHG.                                                                 | Filling of approved and vacant posts are more important than creating new one.                        |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Human Resource (Current)</th>
<th>Suggestions Received During Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conoor</td>
<td>Shortage of staff mainly in the Public Health sector.</td>
<td>Filling of approved and vacant posts are more important than creating new one.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sanitary Inspectors:</strong> Since the vacant posts are not filled there is 20–30 per cent shortage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sanitary Supervisors:</strong> There is 20–30 per cent shortage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sanitary workers:</strong> Acute shortage. Major share of the work is done through SHG.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aravankad</td>
<td>Shortage of staff mainly in the Public Health sector.</td>
<td>Filling of approved and vacant posts are more important than creating new one.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sanitary Inspectors:</strong> The vacant post is to be filled in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sanitary Supervisors:</strong> There is 20–30 per cent shortage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sanitary workers:</strong> Acute shortage. Major share of the work is done through SHG.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narasimhanaicken- palayam</td>
<td>Shortage of staff mainly in the Public Health sector.</td>
<td>Filling of approved and vacant posts are more important than creating new one.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sanitary Inspectors:</strong> Since the vacant posts are not filled there is 20–30 per cent shortage.</td>
<td>Filling of SI post is very crucial.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sanitary Supervisors:</strong> There is 20–30 per cent shortage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sanitary workers:</strong> Acute shortage. Major share of the work is done through SHG.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periyanakaicken- palayam</td>
<td>Shortage of staff mainly in the Public Health sector.</td>
<td>Filling of approved and vacant posts are more important than creating new one.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sanitary Supervisors:</strong> There is 20–30 per cent shortage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sanitary workers:</strong> a shortage of staff. Major share of the work is done through SHG.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kotagiri</td>
<td>Shortage of staff mainly in the Public Health sector.</td>
<td>Filling of approved and vacant posts are more important than creating new one.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sanitary workers:</strong> Acute shortage. Major share of the work is done through SHG.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manamadurai</td>
<td>Shortage of staff mainly in the Public Health sector.</td>
<td>Filling of approved and vacant posts are more important than creating new one.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sanitary Supervisors:</strong> There is 20–30 per cent shortage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sanitary workers:</strong> Acute shortage. Major share of the work is done through SHG.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Human Resource (Current)</td>
<td>Suggestions Received During Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Sriperumbadur | Shortage of staff mainly in the Public Health sector.  
**Sanitary Inspectors**: Post is vacant.  
**Sanitary Supervisors**: There is 20–30 per cent shortage.  
**Sanitary workers**: Acute shortage. Major share of the work is done through SHG. | Filling of approved and vacant posts are more important than creating new one.  
Filling of SI post is very crucial. |
| Sankari    | Shortage of staff mainly in the Public Health sector.  
**Sanitary Inspectors**: Post is vacant.  
**Sanitary Supervisors**: There is 20–30 per cent shortage.  
**Sanitary workers**: Acute shortage. Major share of the work is done through SHG. | Filling of approved and vacant posts are more important than creating new one.  
Filling of SI post is very crucial. |
### Annexure 3: Current Funds Availability Status for Sanitation Needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Finance (Current)</th>
<th>Suggestions Received During Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tiruchirappalli</td>
<td>Toilets are available; majorly sewers. STPs available. Financial resources are available. It is managed well. Land available.</td>
<td>SBM urban funding; proposed for SMART cities. Tariff revision needed to meet operational expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madurai</td>
<td>Sufficient toilet facility is not available. Majorly sewers. STPs available. Financial resources are available. It is managed well. Land availability is a concern.</td>
<td>SBM urban funding; selected for SMART cities. Tariff revision needed to meet operational expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanchipuram</td>
<td>Partial UGD</td>
<td>HRIDAY city, SBM funding is also available. Tariff revision needed to meet operational expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhavani</td>
<td>Partial UGD</td>
<td>SBM funding available. Tariff revision needed to meet operational expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conoor</td>
<td>On-site. Financial resources are limited. No STP; land is a concern. Toilet facility is sufficient.</td>
<td>SBM funding available; treatment facility to be addressed. Tariff revision needed to meet operational expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aravankad</td>
<td>Not connected to sewers; majorly on-site; financial resources are very limited. No STP provision; land is a concern.</td>
<td>SBM funding available; treatment facility to be addressed. Tariff revision needed to meet operational expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narasimhanaickenpalayam</td>
<td>Not connected to sewers; majorly on-site; financial resources are very limited. No STP provision; land is a concern.</td>
<td>SBM funding available; treatment facility to be addressed. Tariff revision needed to meet operational expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periyanaickenpalayam</td>
<td>Not connected to sewers; majorly on-site; financial resources are well managed. No STP provision, but land available.</td>
<td>SBM funding available; STP will be implemented soon. Tariff revision needed to meet operational expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Finance (Current)</td>
<td>Suggestions Received During Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kotagiri</td>
<td>Not connected to sewers; majorly on-site; financial resources are well managed. No STP provision; land is a concern.</td>
<td>SBM funding available; treatment facility to be addressed. Tariff revision needed to meet operational expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manamadurai</td>
<td>Not connected to sewers; majorly on-site; financial resources are very limited. No STP provision; land is a concern.</td>
<td>SBM funding available; treatment facility to be addressed. Tariff revision needed to meet operational expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sriperumbadur</td>
<td>Partially connected sewers; majorly on-site; financial resources are very limited. No STP provision.</td>
<td>SBM funding available; STP will be implemented soon. Tariff revision needed to meet operational expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sankari</td>
<td>Not connected to sewers; majorly on-site; financial resources are very limited. No STP provision; land is a concern.</td>
<td>SBM funding available; treatment facility to be addressed. Tariff revision needed to meet operational expenses.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Annexure 4: Public Health Staff by Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Corporation</th>
<th>Municipalities</th>
<th>Census Town</th>
<th>Town Panchayats</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Inspector</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Worker</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Supervisor</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Officer</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservancy Inspector</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: TNA, May 2016*
Annexure 5: Details of Training Needs by Respondents Type in the Public Health Department

TNA for Sanitary Officers

- Legal procedures in reuse of water and solids
- Legal procedures in STP
- Legal procedures in UGD maintenance
- Safety measures training for field workers in UGD
- Public toilets and management
- Community toilets and their management
- Sanitation services to slum areas
- Customer redressal and service delivery
- Financial management and tariff
- Project management
- Tendering and contracting
- PPP in water and sanitation
- STP Operations
- Resource recovery and reuse from STP FSTP
- Preparation of DPR for Fecal Sledge treatment
- Preparation of city sanitation plan
- Decentralised technologies
- Overview of septage management

Source: TNA, May 2016

TNA for Sanitary Inspector

- Legal procedures in reuse of water and solids
- Legal procedures in STP
- Legal procedures in UGD maintenance
- Safety measures training for field workers in UGD
- Public toilets and management
- Community toilets and their management
- Sanitation services to slum areas
- Customer redressal and service delivery
- Financial management and tariff
- Project management
- Tendering and contracting
- PPP in water and sanitation
- STP Operations
- Resource recovery and reuse from STP FSTP
- Preparation of DPR for Fecal Sledge treatment
- Preparation of city sanitation plan
- Decentralised technologies
- Overview of septage management

Source: TNA, May 2016
TNA for Sanitary Supervisor

- Legal procedures in STP
- Safety measures training for field workers in UGD
- Community toilets and their management
- Customer redressal and service delivery
- Project management
- PPP in water and sanitation
- Resource recovery and reuse from STP FSTP
- Preparation of city sanitation plan
- Overview of septage management

Source: TNA, May 2016

TNA for Sanitary Workers

- Legal procedures in STP
- Safety measures training for field workers in UGD
- Community toilets and their management
- Customer redressal and service delivery
- Project management
- PPP in water and sanitation
- Resource recovery and reuse from STP FSTP
- Preparation of city sanitation plan
- Overview of septage management

Source: TNA, May 2016
## Annexure 6: Details of Training Needs in the Engineering Department

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training area</th>
<th>Number of employees</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assistant Engineer</td>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>Overseer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overview of septage management</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decentralised technologies</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP for septage Management-Desludging services, FSTP</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation of city sanitation plan</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation of DPR for Fecal Sludge treatment systems</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource recovery and reuse from STP and FSTP</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP Operations</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M of wastewater collection systems and treatment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network design, planning and modelling</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP in water and sanitation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendering and contracting</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project management</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial management and tariff</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer re-dressal and service delivery</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety measures training for field workers in UGD</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal procedures in UGD maintenance</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal procedures in STP</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal procedures in reuse of water and solids</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: TNA, May 2016*
Annexure 7: Detail of Training Needs by Respondents Type in the Engineering Department

### TNA for Assistant Engineer

- Legal procedures in reuse of water and solids
- Legal procedures in STP
- Legal procedures in UGD maintenance
- Safety measures training for field workers in UGD
- Public toilets and management
- Community toilets and their management
- Sanitation services to slum areas
- Customer redressal and service delivery
- Financial management and tariff
- Project management
- Tendering and contracting
- PPP in water and sanitation
- STP Operations
- Resource recovery and reuse from STP FSTP
- Preparation of DPR for Fecal Sledge treatment
- Preparation of city sanitation plan
- Decentralised technologies
- Overview of septage management

Source: TNA, May 2016

### TNA for Junior Engineer

- Legal procedures in reuse of water and solids
- Legal procedures in STP
- Legal procedures in UGD maintenance
- Safety measures training for field workers in UGD
- Public toilets and management
- Community toilets and their management
- Sanitation services to slum areas
- Customer redressal and service delivery
- Financial management and tariff
- Project management
- Tendering and contracting
- PPP in water and sanitation
- STP Operations
- Resource recovery and reuse from STP FSTP
- Preparation of DPR for Fecal Sledge treatment
- Preparation of city sanitation plan
- Decentralised technologies
- Overview of septage management

Source: TNA, May 2016
TNA for Overseer

- Legal procedures in reuse of water and solids
- Legal procedures in STP
- Legal procedures in UGD maintenance
- Safety measures training for field workers in UGD
- Public toilets and management
- Community toilets and their management
- Sanitation services to slum areas
- Customer redressal and service delivery
- Financial management and tariff
- Project management
- Tendering and contracting
- PPP in water and sanitation
- STP Operations
- Resource recovery and reuse from STP FSTP
- Preparation of DPR for Fecal Sledge treatment
- Preparation of city sanitation plan
- Decentralised technologies
- Overview of septage management

Number of staff

Source: TNA, May 2016
Annexure 8: Instruments used for TNA

About the Programme:
The GoTN has been a pioneer in not only in attempting improved standards of public health by taking steps to stop open defecation, but has also prioritised the full sanitation chain, including the strengthening of septage management as an economical and sustainable complement to network-based systems. The Chief Minister of GoTN articulated the need to address sanitation. Following this, the 'Namma Toilet' ('Our Own Toilet') or Public Toilets were rolled out in urban areas. The GoTN issued Septage Management Operative Guidelines in September 2014.

In a bid to strengthen sanitation in urban areas, a new programme TNUSSP was launched in November 2015. The TNUSSP supports the GoTN and select cities in making improvements along the entire urban sanitation chain. The TNUSSP is being implemented by a consortium of organisations led by the Indian Institute for Human Settlements (IIHS), in association with CDD Society, Gramalaya and Keystone Foundation.

BMGF is supporting the GoTN to achieve the Sanitation Mission of Tamil Nadu by helping set up a TSU within the Municipal Administration & Water Supply Department. This Unit supports State-wide improvements in urban sanitation, as well as aims to demonstrate innovations along the entire sanitation chain in two selected model urban locations of Trichy Municipal Corporation, and Periyanaicken-palayam and Narasimhanaicken-palayam (two TP’s in Coimbatore District).

Given that improvements need to be made in the entire urban sanitation chain, a Training Needs Assessment is essential for capacity building and training. We will be grateful for your views and suggestions in this regard.

Confidentiality
The information shared in this interview will be used only towards the analysis of the TNA for the TNUSSP and shall not be shared for any other purpose. You may choose to not respond to any question, if you so wish.

Individual details:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Age:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Sex:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Contact details:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4.1</td>
<td>Email:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4.2</td>
<td>Mobile:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4.3</td>
<td>Phone:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Designation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Level/grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Education:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Job related details:

1.1. Years of experience in Agency/Department/ULB
   a) < 5 years
   b) 5–10 years
   c) 10–20 years
   d) 20–30 years
   e) > 30 years

1.2. Please share details about your last two postings.

1.3. How long have you been in your current role?

1.4. Are you on deputation? If yes, please share details of parent department.

1.5. To whom do you report to in your current roles and responsibilities?

1.6. What are your key responsibilities at work?
   Probe for engineering, administrative and managerial tasks.

1.7. What are the tasks that can be said to be pertaining to 'urban sanitation' according to you?
   Record verbatim first, and then probe.

1.8. Please list out the main tasks or activities that you perform.

1.9. How much of your time is spent on urban sanitation?
   (Prompt if responses are not easily forthcoming)
   i. Garbage collection, transport and disposal (Solid Waste Management)
   ii. Street sweeping and other cleanliness activities
   iii. Cleaning/clearing of drains
   iv. Management/regulation of toilets in households and other properties
   v. Checking of disposal of toilet wastes in to drains and open areas
   vi. Management/regulation of community toilets
   vii. Management/regulation of public toilets
   viii. Cleaning and un-clogging of sewer manholes or other structures with human excreta
   ix. Management/regulation of de-sludging trucks and personnel associated
   x. Management/regulation of human excreta waste disposal or treatment sites
   xi. Other human excreta management tasks

1.10. What support do you need from your supervisor or other superiors, to perform your responsibilities?

1.11. What support do you need from your subordinates to perform your responsibilities?

1.12. What support do you need from other departments to perform your responsibilities?

1.13. What are your strengths while performing your job?

1.14. What are the difficulties you face in doing your job?

1.15. What are the areas for improvement for you to perform better in your job?
**Perceived Training Needs:**
1.16. What are the areas in which you would like to get trained on? 
   Write Top 5 areas: *(Please see Annex A)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Benefit/Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1.17. Training programmes attended during your service. 
*Please mention the last 5 programmes.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>How were you able to benefit from this training? Any follow-up done?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Sector Awareness:**
This section focuses on your awareness on current best practices in the area of Urban Sanitation.

1.18. With reference to the above image, which parts do you feel competent and knowledgeable about?

1.19. Are you aware of different options/technologies available for different parts of the chain shown above? Can you name a few?

1.20. What are the typical flaws in septic tank design and construction?

1.21. How should the effluent coming out from septic tank be treated before being let out to the environment?

1.22. How should the sludge in septic tank be handled or disposed? Are you familiar with different issues, rules and solutions pertaining to?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of Sanitation Chain</th>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Rules and Regulations</th>
<th>Solutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safe containment of excreta: design and maintenance of toilets, pits, septic tanks, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe conveyance: maintenance and de-sludging of septic tanks, sewer maintenance, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe disposal post treatment: STPs, sewage treatment facilities, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.23. Are you aware of the Septage Management Operative Guidelines issued by GoTN?
Yes | No
If yes, discuss some of the key features of the Guidelines that the respondent is familiar with.

**Training Areas and Topics:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Topics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Septage Management</td>
<td>SP1</td>
<td>Overview of Septage Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP2</td>
<td>Decentralised Treatment Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP3</td>
<td>PPP for Septage Management: de-sludging services, FSTP Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP4</td>
<td>Preparation of City Sanitation Plan including Septage Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP5</td>
<td>Preparation of DPR for Fecal Sludge Treatment Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP6</td>
<td>Resource Recovery and Reuse from STP and FSTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SW1</td>
<td>STP Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SW2</td>
<td>O &amp; M of waste water collection systems and treatment plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SW3</td>
<td>Network Design, Planning and Modelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PF1</td>
<td>PPP in water and sanitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PF2</td>
<td>Tendering and Contracting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PF3</td>
<td>Project Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PF4</td>
<td>Financial Management and Tariffs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CA1</td>
<td>Customer redressal and service delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CA2</td>
<td>Sanitation services to slum areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CA3</td>
<td>Community Toilets and their Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CA4</td>
<td>Public Toilets and their Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Checklist for Interviews with Key Stakeholders

The following set of discussion points and questions are to be used as a guide to discuss with key officers in DMA, DTP, selected TPs, municipalities, and corporations.

Introduction to TNUSSP
The GoTN has been a pioneer in not only in attempting improved standards of public health by taking steps stop open defecation, but has also prioritised the full sanitation chain, including the strengthening of septage management as an economical and sustainable complement to network-based systems. The Chief Minister of GoTN articulated the need to address sanitation in the coming years following this, the ‘Namma Toilet’ ('Our Own Toilet') or Public Toilets were rolled out in urban areas. The GoTN issued Septage Management Operative Guidelines in September 2014.

In a bid to strengthen sanitation in urban areas, a new programme TNUSSP was launched in November 2015. The TNUSSP supports the GoTN and select cities in making improvements along the entire urban sanitation chain. The TNUSSP is being implemented by a consortium of organisations led by the Indian Institute for Human Settlements (IIHS), in association with CDD Society, Gramalaya and Keystone Foundation.

BMGF is supporting the GoTN to achieve the Sanitation Mission of Tamil Nadu by helping set up a TSU within the Municipal Administration & Water Supply Dept. This Unit supports State-wide improvements in urban sanitation, as well as aims to demonstrate innovations along the entire sanitation chain in two selected model urban locations of Trichy Municipal Corporation, and Periyaanaicken-palayam and Narasimhanaicken-palayam (two TPs in Coimbatore District).

The TSU has specialists in the areas of planning, engineering, capacity building, knowledge management, behaviour change and communication, monitoring, learning and evaluation. These specialists support the MAWS, cities and towns to adopt sustainable sanitation innovations. The DTP is the co-ordinating agency for the Programme.

The first phase of the program will be for two years (Nov 2015 to Oct 2017).

Training Needs Assessment
The TNUSSP focusses on full-cycle sanitation. Given the gaps as highlighted above, a strong capacity building component has been envisaged as part of the programme. Before a training plan is developed and implemented, a Training Needs Assessment Study has been initiated to identify current and future capacity gaps. This will help in focussing the training interventions to produce desired outcomes. The focus of the current exercise is on the full chain of human excreta management—especially on on-site installations like septic tanks, regular de-sludging and safe conveyance, and their safe disposal after treatment (called FSM or Septage Management).

(Let discussions on UGD/sewers and SWM be there but try bringing it back to the above as much as possible during the course of the discussions.)

Questions:

For Commissioners/EOs:

1. Where does the city stands in terms of its importance—economic/regional, etc. What have been the main drivers and priorities of the ULB at present?
2. Which are the divisions/departments/cells in the ULB with responsibility for:
   i. Water supply
   ii. Solid waste management
   iii. Drainage
   iv. Sewerage
   v. Sanitation

   (Please collect Organisation structure and staffing details, and refer to relevant sections of the Acts and rules thereunder regarding these.)

3. (Trace the full chain of urban sanitation first, see if there is awareness, data on what happens to excreta across the chain, etc., can also include sewerage/UGD in this. Follow up with a discussion on the following points, one by one)

4. In your ULB, what are the key issues pertaining to safe containment, conveyance and treatment/disposal of human excreta?

5. What are the key gaps in rules and regulations for these?

6. What are the technologies and solutions for resolving the above issues and gaps?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of Sanitation Chain</th>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Gaps in Rules and Regulations</th>
<th>Solutions (technologies or other)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safe containment of excreta – design and maintenance of toilets, pits, septic tanks, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe conveyance: maintenance and desludging of septic tanks, sewer maintenance, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe disposal post treatment: STPs, sewage treatment facilities, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. What are the other key aspects that need strengthening in order for the city to achieve OD-free status and to dispose of 100 per cent of its human excreta safely after treatment?
   (Prompt for institutional, financial, social, and other issues).
8. According to you, what is the level of success so far in implementing the Septage Management Operative Guidelines brought out by GoTN in September 2014? See if the candidate is aware of this, and list whether any action has been initiated on this?

9. What are the current initiatives/programmes/schemes that are being implemented to improve the sanitation situation in the city?
   i. Extending access to toilets and addressing Open Defecation
   ii. Improved sewerage and treatment
   iii. Improved de-sludging of septic tanks and treatment/safe disposal
   iv. Improved SWM
   v. Improved drainage management
   vi. Other related aspects

(Prompt for SBM-Urban, AMRUT, and other GOTN schemes and grants for the above)

10. What are the key organisational gaps in the ULB to take care of sanitation in a comprehensive manner in your ULB?

11. What measures, specifically for capacity building, can be taken to address sanitation questions?
   {Please organise discussions around the following:}
   i. Current staff: what are the improvements needed in their skills and capacities?
   ii. What kind of new positions and personnel are needed in managing sanitation well?
   iii. What rules and regulations are needed, or existing ones strengthened?
   iv. What systems and procedures are needed?
   v. What facilities and infrastructure are needed?
   vi. What finances are needed for capital and O&M?
   vii. Tariffs
   viii. Engagement with vendors, de-sludging operators, and other stakeholders
   ix. Social/customer interface
   x. Needs of special areas and communities, e.g., in Slums, industries, etc.
   xi. Others
12. What is the percentage shortfall in staff you see at this time in the urban sanitation function?
   i. Implementing Municipal Building Rules (including toilets) for approvals, and verification at completion stage
   ii. Regulation of on-site installations, i.e., toilets to ensure that these are connected to UGD/sewers or to pits and septic tanks, and these are not leaking fecal matter into open areas or drains untreated
   iii. Personnel for operating de-sludging trucks that the ULB owns and manages
   iv. Personnel for regulating private sector de-sludging trucks
   v. Personnel for monitoring/maintaining treatment sites and facilities (for human excreta)
   (Depending on the respondent, we can help construct a mapping of existing personnel and proposed based on perceived shortfall. Let us see how dependable these estimates turn out to be).

13. Are you in-sourcing or outsourcing any work at this time? Please describe the nature of work.

14. Are there any functional overlaps with other departments/organisations?

15. How do you think capacity building may assist in developing, testing, and scaling up new techno-managerial approaches such as septage management and non-UGD methods to improve sanitation management at the City-level?

16. Where do you see major gaps with respect to capacity (human resources) to address the full cycle of sanitation?
   i. Knowledge/Understanding
   ii. Skillsets
   iii. Attitude/Behaviour issues

17. Do you have written Job Descriptions/Key Result Areas for your key personnel?
   Yes | No

18. If not, what are the reference points of ensuring that staff do their work satisfactorily?

19. What has been the record of trainings in the past? Who provides training, where, etc.

20. What do you think is the willingness of your staff to attend training programmes?

21. Do you have a Citizen’s Charter that assures service levels? What kind of RTI requests do you receive?

22. What are the key constraints and difficulties in your organisation performing well?

23. What can be done to remedy these deficits?
Tamil Nadu Urban Sanitation Support Programme (TNUSSP) supports the Government of Tamil Nadu and cities in making improvements along the entire sanitation chain. The TNUSSP is implemented by a consortium of organisations led by the Indian Institute for Human Settlements (IIHS), in association with CDD Society, Gramalaya and Keystone Foundation.